STATE OF NEBRASKA



NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

(Nebraska Crime Commission)

Michael E. Behm, Executive Director

301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4946

> Phone (402) 471-2194 FAX (402) 471-2837

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

May 6, 2011

The Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice met Friday, May 6, 2011 at 9:30 AM in Lower Level Conference Room A of the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska. Legal notice of the meeting was published April 26, 2011 in the <u>Lincoln Journal Star</u>.

As amended by LB 898, 2005 Legislature, a copy of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act was available for public review.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:34 AM by Acting Chairman John Freudenberg. The following members were **in attendance**: Acting Chair John Freudenberg, Candice Batton, Bill Brueggemann, Scot Ford, Joe Kelly, Alex Hayes, Robert Houston, Genelle Moore, Kathy Moore, Don Overman, Fred Ruiz, Rita Saunders, Derek Vaughn and William White. **Members excused**: Richard Pierce, David Sankey and Brenda Smith. **Staff present:** Ann, Bauers, Michael Behm, Deb Caha, William Muldoon, David Stolz, Bruce Ayers, Michael Overton, Lisa Stamm, Tiffany Mullison, Merry Wills and James Wright.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion

A motion was made by Overman and seconded by K. Moore to approve the minutes of the Crime Commission meeting of January 28, 2011; Jail Standards Board meeting of January 21, 2011; Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice meeting of March 23, 2011; Racial Profiling Advisory Committee meeting of March 18, 2011; and the Police Standards Advisory Council meetings of December 15, 2010 and February 17, 2011. Motion carried unanimously by acclamation.

III. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mike Behm presented his Executive Director's report noting the following:

• Deb Caha is our new IT staff member. She started March 31st and replaced Brad Hicken who is now at the Department of Roads.

- James Wright is the new Director of the Office of Violence Prevention and his first day was April 22, 2011
- Rita Saunders, Mayor of Bellevue and Colonel David Sankey, Superintendent of the Nebraska State Patrol were welcomed as new members to the commission.
- Monica Miles-Steffens has resigned from the Grants Division and her last day was April 20, 2011
- On April 14th the Task Force Summit was conducted in Grand Island with a cooperative effort between the Crime Commission and the Nebraska State Patrol
- The Jail Standards Division's annual training conference was held April 20-22, 2011 in Kearney
- The 2011 Criminal Justice Directory is being readied for printing and will be mailed shortly
- LB390 which realigns supervision for the Crime Commission is now a Speaker's priority bill.

A question was raised concerning Jail Standards still being part of LB390 and Behm explained that portion of the bill had been removed.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. 2010 Traffic Stops in Nebraska Annual Report

Chairman Freudenberg informed the Board that a copy of the 2010 Traffic Stops in Nebraska Annual Report was provided for their information. A revised page 17 will be sent to everyone because the numbers were drawn from the wrong year and this will be updated.

B. 2010 Crime Commission Annual Report

Chairman Freudenberg informed the Board that a copy of the 2010 Crime Commission Annual Report was provided for their information.

C. Nebraska Law Enforcement Training Center

1. <u>Instructor Certifications</u>

The Crime Commission next considered <u>one</u> request for **Professional Instructor Certification**, <u>two</u> requests for **Professional Instructor Recertification**. The Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations were reported by Captain Genelle Moore.

Motion

A motion was made by Ford and seconded by Hayes to grant the following instructor certifications per Police Standards Advisory Council's recommendations: <u>Professional Instructor Certification</u> to Gus R. Hitz III, N.L.E.T.C; and <u>Professional Instructor Recertification</u> to Catherine Milone, Omaha Police Department and David Staskiewicz, Omaha Police Department. Voting in favor of the motion: Batton, Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Hayes, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Overman, Ruiz, Saunders, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

D. JAG ARRA Update

Lisa Stamm gave an update on JAG ARRA for the fiscal year our regular 2011 JAG funding. To date we have not received on our regular JAG funding an allocated amount we can apply for, however, as reported in January there will be at least a 17% cut allocated for local and state funds. That allocation may not be known until July, 2011.

In relation to the JAG ARRA, Stamm reported that the internal E-grants project which was being considered will not move forward because of budget constraints, therefore, per the federal program managers, the funds will be moved to Aid. The logistics are being worked on.

Behm asked the amount of the remaining funds. Stamm replied it's about \$600,000.

E. Task Force Summit Update/Strategic Planning

Lisa Stamm gave on update on the Task Force Summit and Strategic Planning which took place April 14, 2011 in Grand Island, Nebraska. There were a total of 56 participants with representation from all the Task Forces. NCJA provided the keynote speaker Dr. Cynthia Lom, Deputy Director for the Center of Evidence Based Crime Policy of George Mason University, and training in the afternoon was provided by the Tri-City Task Force, Nebraska State Patrol Fusion Center, NIAC and the Crime Commission. The goal for the summit was to provide training on evidence based policing, networking and information sharing for the task forces and to begin the discussion on the Nebraska Statewide strategic planning.

Stamm stated that she would give an update in July.

F. Award of 2010 Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Grant Funds-\$1,028,702

The Commission next considered requests for the 2010 Violence Against Women Act grant funds.

Merry Wills informed the Board that they had \$1,148,137 in Violence Against Women Act funds available for award this year, of which \$80,023 is ARRA funds which are designated amounts for law enforcement and prosecution efforts from FY 2009. She stated that VAWA funds are restricted by federal mandates that require the funds be divided among law enforcement, prosecution, victim services, culturally specific victim's services and the courts. A certain amount is discretionary which gives some latitude within the categories so we can consider our priorities or our greatest needs.

Wills stated that the VAWA requests for this year totaled \$1, 805,954 and the amounts requested were \$657,817 over the amount available. The victims services category had the most funds requested which were \$391,261 over the amount available.

Wills stated the staff review was held on March 1, 2011 and their recommendations were forwarded to the VAWA Grant Committee which made some changes from the staff recommendations. Since there are insufficient funds and the requests exceeded the funds available, any requests for salary increases, overtime, equipment purchases, out-of-state travel, and incidentals were denied.

Wills reported that a total of 17 grant applications were received. She stated that of these 17 grant applications, only 2 were new applications. All were recommended by the staff review for some level of funding, but the Grant Committee did not recommend the 2 new applications for funding.

Wills stated that there were 15 programs that were recommended for funding. 7 of those received decreased from their last year's grant award, 3 were funded at the same level as last year, and 4 that received increases. No funds were turned back ,all the ARRA dollars will allocated and there were no appeals.

Freudenberg questioned why \$15,000 was taken from two programs and were redistributed to other programs. Wills explained that \$5,000 was taken from A713 and given to A704 because they wanted to fund a Community Day for victim services. She further explained that \$10,000 was taken from the Coalition and given to A700 to fund web based training for judges. Of that amount \$5,625 is for the web based training, and \$4,375 was just left in the fund for staff salaries.

Motion

A motion was then made by Kelly and seconded by Brueggemann to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Grant Review Committee and the Violence Against Women Act Advisory Committee for the \$1,028,702 in Violence Against Women Act grant funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Batton, Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Hayes (Abstained on A705), Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Overman, Ruiz, Saunders, Vaughn (Abstain on A705) and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #1

G. Award of Juvenile Services Act 2011 Grant Funds - \$587,812

Tiffany Mullison presented a brief explanation on how the process has changed over the last 3 years. There were smaller grant review teams this year that seemed to work well. On the summary comment sheets there is a different layout that seems to be easier to read and understand. And the Administrators did a technical merit review so the grant review team members could focus on the project at hand instead of the technical requirements.

Mullison stated that Juvenile Services and Title II review were held in early February; and County Aid was held in March. There was also application training offered in North Platte and Lincoln prior to the reviews.

Mullison reported that there were no appeals from any of the four pots of money and were presented to the Coalition for Juvenile Justice and unanimously approved.

There were 35 applications for juvenile services received by the Crime Commission with 13 applications for new programs. 3 new programs were recommended, 10 new programs were not recommended and the remaining 22 applications being requests for continuation funding. She stated the amount of funds available to award was \$587,812; the total amount of funds requested was over \$1 million. The Grant Review Committee recommended awarding the full \$587,812 for 25 programs and forwarded their recommendations to the Nebraska Juvenile Justice Coalition.

Mullison informed the Board that one application was originally submitted under Juvenile Services but was moved to Title II to allow for partial funding and one was incorrectly submitted under Title II.

Batton asked how requests were prioritized for the limited funding available. Mullison replied that it's difficult when you have double the amount of requests for the funding available. She stated that they looked at quality of the application, ability of the sub-grantee and how it fits into the community plans.

Ruiz asked about 11-JS-202 and 11-JS-203 and why they were denied. Mullison answered that because they had previously been in operation and they were uncertain if the funds were still going to be available.

Crime Commission Meeting May 6, 2011 - Page 5 Ruiz also stated that Lancaster County (11-JS-418 through 11-JS-421) got hit really hard. Mullison stated that is was a difficult decision to make

Motion

A motion was made by Overman and seconded by K. Moore to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Juvenile Justice Coalition for the \$587,812 in 2011 Juvenile Services Act grant funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Batton, Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Hayes (Abstained on 11-JS-427, 11-JS-430 and 11-JS-432), Houston, G. Moore, Overman, Ruiz, Saunders, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #2

H. Award of 2010 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Title II Formula Grant Funds - \$510,000

Mullison reported that these funds are Federal Funds. The focus is not quite as prevention focused as Juvenile Services.

Mullison informed the Board that 18 applications for Title II funds were received by the Crime Commission with 1 application submitted under Juvenile Justice but moved to Juvenile Services since it was a continuation project funded by Juvenile Services last year; 6 applications being first year requests, and the remaining 11 applications being requests for continuation funding. She stated the amount of funds available to award was \$510,000; the total amount of funds requested was \$717,564.

The Grant Review Committee recommended awarding \$509,180 for 13 projects (two of which are new) and forwarded their recommendations to the Nebraska Juvenile Justice Coalition.

The remaining \$820 is for the Native American Pass Through which is required. No tribe submitted an application; the funds will be earmarked for distribution next year.

Overman asked about the truancy aspect on LB463. Mike Overton was asked to respond and stated that this is data sharing through NCJIS and the intent is to increase the level of data sharing. This portion of the bill has now been struck.

Motion

A motion was made by K. Moore and seconded by Ruiz to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Juvenile Justice Coalition for the \$510,000 in 2010 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Title II formula grant funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Batton, Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Hayes, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Overman, Ruiz, Saunders, Vaughn (Abstain on JJ08 and JJ11) and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #3

I. Award of 2011 Juvenile Justice County Aid Formula Grant Funds - \$1,369,762 of the Total Award of \$1,492,500

Mullison reported that the County Aid funds are State funds and the amount available to each county is based on youth population in the county. It is a predetermined amount, which counties are aware of prior to applying. Counties can partner to pool the funding and share the costs.

She stated the amount available for award was \$1,492,500; the amount requested is \$1,369,762 which leaves a remaining fund of \$122,738 which will carry over to the 2011 Juvenile Justice County Aid Enhancement Grant funds. Mullison informed the Board that 39 applications were received and all 39 projects were recommended for funding.

Freudenberg asked about the counties that collaborated and what would be the lead county. Mullison referred to the attachment for that information.

Motion

A motion was made by Vaughn and seconded by Batton to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the amended amount of \$1,369,762 which includes funding to all 39 applicants of the 2011 Juvenile Justice County Aid grant funds. Voting in favor of the motion: Batton, Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Hayes, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Overman, Ruiz, Saunders, Vaughn (Abstain on 11-CA-504) and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #4

J. Award 2011 Juvenile Justice County Aid Enhancement Grant Funds - \$122,738

Mullison reported that the Enhancement Grants are what is left over from the County Aid and not requested. The total amount available for award was \$122,738; \$333,470 was the amount requested. She stated that they received 21 grant applications with 20 of these applications being recommended for partial or full funding. The remaining one application was recommended for denial.

Batton asked why some counties didn't apply and Mullison replied that counties have to apply for Juvenile Justice County Aid before they can apply for the Enhancement Grant funds.

Ruiz asked why a county wouldn't apply and Mullison replied that some counties simply don't think there is enough money. She further stated that they are working to inform the counties that if they partner then they can actually benefit from the funds.

Motion

A motion was made by K. Moore and seconded by Ruiz to approve all recommendations and contingency stipulations as outlined by the Juvenile Justice Coalition for all programs receiving funding in the amount of \$5,000 or below. Voting in favor of the motion: Batton, Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Hayes, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Overman, Ruiz, Saunders, Vaughn (Abstain from 11-EG-101) and White. Motion carried unanimously.

SEE ATTACHMENT #5

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

Brueggemann asked Behm if it was appropriate for the Crime Commission to ask the County Attorney Advisory Council for some guidelines or standard for the Continuing Legal Education hours if the requirements have not been met. Behm replied that it is covered by Title 78, Chapter 6 as well as Statute 23-1213. Behm suggested that perhaps it is something to be brought before the commission at the next meeting since the CASAC meeting was just held the previous day and it wasn't possible to bring that information to this Crime Commission meeting.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The next scheduled meeting of the Commission will be Friday, July 22, 2011 at 9:30 AM in the Nebraska State Office Building, Lower Level Conference Room A, Lincoln, Nebraska.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:18 AM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ann Bauers

Administrative Assistant

Attachment #1

Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) 2010 Formula Grants \$1,148,137 Available Over in Requests \$657,817.70

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2009 Award)		Amount Requested	Amount Recomm Staff	Amount Recomm Grnt, Rvw.	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
				Rvw.		
10-VW-700	Admin Office of the Courts & Prob Lincoln (DV/SA Initiative) (\$49,292)	\$	62,061.00	\$ 42,405.00	\$ 52,405.00	
10-VW-701	Crisis Center for DA/SA - Fremont (Coord. Response) (\$58,632)			·		
10 1/1/200	(\$30,632)	\$	51,393.00	\$45,267.00	\$45,267.00	
	Heartland Family Service - Omaha (Sarpy Co CRT) (\$101,377)	\$	136,946.00	\$84,124.00	\$84,124.00	
10-VW-703	Bright Horizons - Norfolk (Enhanced Advocacy Program & CRT) (\$23,616)	\$	28,855.00			
10-VW-704	CDVIP - No Platte (Comm DV Intervention Program)			\$23,616.00	\$23,616.00	
40 \ 34 - 5	(\$57,236)	\$	85,874.00	\$52,236.00	\$57,236.00	
10-VW-705	City of Omaha - Omaha (Douglas Co CRT) (\$112,052)	\$	464,657.00	\$213,158.00	\$213,158.00	
10-VW-706	Haven House - Wayne (Enhancement of Dakota Co CRT) (56,099)	\$	61,446.00	\$56,099.00		
10-VW-707	Crisis Center - Grand Island (CRT) (\$18,000)	\$	24,952.00	\$18,000.00	\$56,099.00 \$18,000.00	
	Center for SA/DV Survivors - Columbus (Coord. Comm Response to DV/SA and Stalking) (\$61,170)		\$105,833.00	\$57,218.00	\$57,218.00	
	SASA Crisis Center - Hastings (CRT- Resurrection) (\$34,531)	\$	56,163.70	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	
10-VW-710	NE Atty General's Office - Lincoln (Response to Violenece Against Women) (\$71,393)	\$	119,184.00	\$93,344.00	\$93,344.00	
	NE DV/SA Coalition - Lincoln (Database Coordination) (\$0)	\$	73,278.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	
10-VW-712	The SAFE Center - Kearney (5 County CRT) (\$80,000)	\$	97,984.00	\$77,000.00	\$77,000.00	
1	Seward County - Seward	Φ.				
10-VW-714	(Victim Asst.) (\$0) Lancaster Co. Justice Council - Lincoln (Coord.	\$	20,955.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	
\	Response to Reducing Violence Against Women) (\$197,545)	\$	228,430.00	\$185,943.00	\$185,943.00	

	NE State Patrol - Lincoln (NE DV/SA Program) (\$94,652)	\$ 141,620.00	\$141,620.00		\$141,620.00		
	SCIP - Ogallala (Enhanced Intervention & Advocacy) (\$13,107)	\$ 46,323.00	\$13,107.00		\$13,107.00		
TOTAL Available Remaining		\$ 	\$ 1,148,137.00 \$ 1,148,137.00 \$ -	•	1,148,137.00 1,148,137.00 -	-	1,148,137.00 1,148,137.00

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Admin Office of the Courts and Probation

Grant #: 10-VW-0700

Title: DV/SA Initiative

Amount Requested: \$62,061

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$52,405	Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised Budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written application with statistical documentation of the problem
- Collaboration efforts
- Letters of support
- Use of evidence based practices
- Budget items relate to identified problem

Areas for improvement:

• Strengthen sustainability section by identifying or listing those sources of possible funding that the applicant intends to research and apply for in order to maintain the program.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Crisis Center for DA/SA **Grant #**: 10-VW-0701

Title: Coordinated Response: Intervention Advocacy Amount Requested: \$51,393

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:			
\$45,267	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide. 			

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Increases in number of referrals, BIP completion rates, and victim advocacy numbers
- Efforts to improve protection order education and accuracy of statistical data
- Recent update of the criminal justice improvement plan
- Documentation of collaboration and work occurring with the County Judge
- Project is commended for reducing budget request and conducting self-assessment of needs based on last year's budget request

- Applicant does not identify a CRT culturally specific organization.
- Review team recommends that Dodge County use the VINE system.
- Strengthen objectives and performance indicators by ensuring they match.
- Due to insufficient funds equipment is not recommended for funding/
- In Category C Travel, the miles are miscalculated and do not match the budget sheet and narrative/
- Strengthen application by ensuring no inconsistencies are contained in statistical information provided/

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Heartland Family Service Grant #: 10-VW-0702

Title: Sarpy Co CRT Amount Requested: \$136,946

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:			
\$84,124	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Of recommended total allocate \$28,200 for prosecution and \$40,000 for victim services. Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide. 			

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Project's focus on individuals in the military and youth victims
- Additional statistics provided in relation to completion of the DV worksheet and protection orders
- Goals and objectives are a good reflection of the grant application
- Well written sustainability plan which includes actively seeking numerous grants and conducting fund raising activities
- Community support for the organization is well documented

- Applicant does not identify a CRT culturally specific organization
- Due to insufficient funds no salary increases are recommended at this time.
- Recommend that the performance indicator for objective #4 be increased to reflect a more aggressive identification of problem areas.
- In the absence of the Family Justice Center more information is required regarding the amount of funds allocated to support the supervision piece provided by the Criminal Justice Advocate Supervisor.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Bright Horizons Grant #: 10-VW-0703

Title: Enhanced Advocacy Program & CRT Amount Requested: \$28,855

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:		
\$23,616	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. On page 2 of application, please identify the CRT Culturally Specific organization. 		

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Have continued efforts to build effective working relationship with law enforcement
- Ongoing collaboration with the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska
- Work with the school to provide the Lindsey Ann Burke Act and efforts with victims who have substance abuse issues
- Excellent CRT effort.
- Detailed budget narratives
- Evidence provided which reflects community support and work accomplished
- Increase of 10% on goals and objectives

- In future applications please refer only to job titles of employees and avoid any use of their names.
- Recalculate figures on the Budget Summary and budget sheets so they match.
- Strengthen sustainability plan by providing details of what the Boyd/Holt County and Knox County CRTs are doing to sustain efforts.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: CDVIP

Grant #: 10-VW-0704

Title: CDVIP

Amount Requested: \$85,874

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:			
\$57,236	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide. Community Support Day must include CRT, specifically involvement of law enforcement. 			

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Description of current efforts, problem areas and detailed flowchart
- Applicant provides innovative idea of the Community Support Day for victims
- Training of CRT members
- Efforts to partner with the Nebraska Association of Farm Workers
- Active CRT and community collaboration
- Use of ARRA funds to rejuvenate project activities

- Strengthen sustainability plan by providing detailed information.
- Strengthen proposal by including specifics regarding who are the underserved and nonserved victims, and what are the cultural components of your service delivery system.
- Review team recommended \$6,667 under the mandated category of Law Enforcement therefore; applicant will be required to use these funds to support CRT coordination and the Community Support Day which must include the involvement of the CRT and law enforcement.
- Match and supplies are miscalculated and mileage must to be recalculated at .51

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha **Grant #**: 10-VW-0705

Title: Douglas Co CRT Amount Requested: \$464,657

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Contingencies for Award:
 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Of recommended total allocate \$77,272 for law enforcement; \$62,843 for prosecution; \$34,485 to YWCA Omaha; \$19,588 for cultural specific, \$11,000 for court; and \$8,000 for the CRT coordinating agency. Revised budget excluding any request for new positions, equipment, salary increases, overtime, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant must submit current job description of Interim Executive Director. Revise objectives/goals to reflect approved budget. Applicant must provide Crime Commission Federal Aid Administrator with a quarterly written report on DVCC's progress towards stabilization.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Letters of support
- Douglas County has an obvious need for services.
- Very useful and relevant statistical information, baseline numbers are strong for individual agencies.
- Project's focus on culturally specific and underserved groups.

- Goal #2 lists no project results for YWCA Omaha
- Budget Summary contains miscalculations
- Strengthen future applications by clearly identifying salary increases and ensuring that there are no or minimal duplication of efforts or services.
- In budget sections please indicate for each position whether it is existing or new and clearly explain how the supplanting of funding is not occurring.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Haven House Grant #: 10-VW-0706

Title: Enhancement of Dakota Co CRT Amount Requested: \$61,446

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:			
\$56,099	Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies:			
	• Of recommended total allocate \$22,980 for law enforcement and the remaining \$33,119 for victim services.			
	 Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. 			
	 Include Culturally Specific organization in written CRT policies and procedures. 			

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application

- Well written and easy to read application
- Detailed sustainability efforts containing plans for the coming year
- NAF Multicultural Human Development Corporation as a CRT partner
- Statistical documentation of the problem and the proposed solution
- Community description containing helpful map of the area
- Focus on sexual assault victims
- Letters of support demonstrating support from the Mayor and City Administrator.

- Mileage is miscalculated and must be corrected
- Due to insufficient funds no salary increases are recommended at this time
- Strengthen future grants by providing more information on improvements to the Criminal Justice system.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Crisis Center Grant #: 10-VW-0707

Title: Coord. Comm. Response Team Amount Requested: \$24,952

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:			
\$18,000	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide. 			

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Fundraising activities are included in sustainability efforts
- Detailed activity/timeline
- Detailed flow chart

- Applicant does not identify a CRT culturally specific organization
- Please explain reason for decrease in number of victims provided direct advocacy within 24 and the increase in those reached after 24 hours.
- FICA for the On-scene Advocate is miscalculated
- While applicant is to be commended for thinking outside of the box, please consider victim
 confidentiality and privilege with regards to the SANE position. Traditionally, this position works
 closely with the prosecution therefore; it is recommended that SANE positions be outside of victim
 service organizations.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Center for SA/DV Survivors Grant #: 10-VW-0708

Title: Coord Comm Response to DV/SA and Stalking

Amount Requested: \$105,833

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Contingencies for Award:		
 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide Revise performance indicator on page 31. 		

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Continuation efforts and fundraising activities for satellite offices
- Outreach to David City
- Strong CRT
- Plans to update all procedures in 2011
- Success of the Summer Sizzle fund raiser
- Focused on cultural competency
- Good explanation of statistical variances

- Applicant does not identify a CRT culturally specific organization
- Applicant is requesting additional funds yet current statistical data does not justify the request
- Performance Indicator (p 31) baseline data of 292 for referrals from law enforcement does not correlate with statistical chart (p 20)

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: SASA Crisis Center

Grant #: 10-VW-0709

Title: CRT-Resurrection

Amount Requested: \$56,163.70

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$30,000	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals. Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide. Continuation Section must be completed. MOUs and Required Forms must be completed. Applicant must arrange for technical assistance from the Federal Aid
	Administrator to address lack of cohesion among CRT members.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Spanish hotline and Spanish Advocate
- Outreach to underserved populations including Asian women
- Efforts to rebuild relations with law enforcement
- Applicant is to be commended for work with children and their understanding of trauma
- Engaging judges and providing training

- Applicant does not identify a CRT culturally specific organization
- Budget Summary must be signed and figures provided in whole numbers
- Lodging must be recalculated at current rate and incidentals are no longer allowed
- Required Forms must be signed by authorized official
- Provided letters of support or MOUs
- Provide correct budget calculations
- Strengthen future proposals by meeting the format requires as outlined in the Application Instructions

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska Attorney General's Office

Grant #: 10-VW-0710

Title: Response to Violence Against Women

Amount Requested: \$119,184

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:
Recommended	110 li
\$93,344	Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the
Ψ,5,5,5	following contingencies:
	Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary
	increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals
	increases, out-of-state travel, of moradization

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written and easy to read proposal
- Project is critical and a state-wide effort
- Referenced the VAWA implementation plan
- Increases in statistical documentation of the problem
- Breakdown of position responsibilities
- Useful graphs
- Letters of support
- Continuation information discussed VOCA ARAA Advocate.

- Objective 2, the projected result for the number of prosecutors trained must be increased to reflect the objective statement which is to maintain
- Provide data on scope of prosecutor's work such as number of prosecutors in Nebraska
- Enhance outreach efforts by integrating outreach activities into the prosecutor's role
- Mileage must be recalculated at .51

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: NE DV/SA Coalition Grant #: 10-VW-0711

Title: Database Coordination Amount Requested: \$73,278

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Reasons for Denial:
\$0	Funding recommendation was based on Operating Instruction #10. Application was denied based on: 1. 007.02F Cost effectiveness of the proposed project. 2. 007.02G Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Proposal is complete and well written
- Statistical documentation of the problem
- Description of underserved populations

- Strengthen sustainability plan by outlining detailed steps to ensure ongoing support for project.
- Review members were concerned that the creation of the database has been funded for several years.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: The SAFE Center **Grant #**: 10-VW-0712

Title: Five Co CRT Amount Requested: \$97,984

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$77,000	Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies:
	• Of recommended total allocate \$1,667 for law enforcement; \$47,000 for prosecution; and \$28,333 for victim services.
	 Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals.
	 Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide.
	Submit signed MOUs.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Focus on diverse, underserved populations
- Significant increases in Enhanced Advocacy Program
- Tracking of data on children present at DV incidents
- Compelling problem statement
- Project achieved 155% increase in the numbers of victims reached
- Sustainability plan and detailed flow chart
- Documented representation as evidenced by MOUs

- Personnel increases are not recommend due to insufficient funds
- Mileage must be recalculated at .51
- Missing signatures on MOUs
- Strengthen proposal by expanding discussion on the underserved population

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Seward County **Grant #**: 10-VW-0713

Title: Seward Victim Asstance Amount Requested: \$20,955

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Reasons for Denial:
Recommended	
\$0	 Funding recommendation was based on Operating Instruction #10. Application was denied based on: 1. 007.2C Completeness, clarity, continuity and consistency of the written application. The written application shall include all sections and information as outlined in the grant application instructions. 2. 007.02D Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem. 3. 007.02G Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- New project spanning across 4 counties
- Would enable the development of a CRT in an area that currently does not have one
- Innovative approach for outreach
- Project has desire to collaborate in the delivery of services

- Review team recommends the project seek technical assistance in the development of a grant proposal from the Crime Commission's Victims Federal Aid Administrator.
- Review team recommends project seek technical assistance from the Statewide Community Respond Team (CRT) in the development of a community level CRT.
- Proposal is incomplete and would be strengthen by accurately completing all sections, forms, and requirements as outlined in the Application Instructions.
- Budget summary and budget sheets contained miscalculations
- Community need for this type of unique service delivery model is not well documented. For example, numbers of hairdressers available is not included in discussion therefore, it difficult to determine if concept is feasible and supported.
- Required forms were not filled out completely.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County **Grant** #: 10-VW-0714

Title: Coord. Response to Reducing Violence Against Women Amount Requested: \$228,430

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$185,943	 Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies: Of recommended total allocate \$69,452 for law enforcement; \$51,700 for prosecution; \$37,842 for victim services; and \$12,485 for cultural specific. Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, or incidentals.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Detailed description of the problem and good statistical information
- Strong, solid CRT that makes use of progressive methods
- Continued efforts with CPS
- LPD has adopted Belief Based training for officers
- Identified cultural issues and partnerships with Culturally Specific organizations
- Expansion of cultural specific areas fit well with primary languages currently spoken in criminal justice system.

- Explain why there is NAs in stats for children present during DV incidents
- Applicant may want to access prosecution data through JUSTICE
- Please correct minor miscalculations on budget sheets.
- Strengthen proposal by increasing project's capacity for sustainability

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: NE State Patrol

Grant #: 10-VW-0715

Title: NE DV/SA Program

Amount Requested: \$141,620

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$141,620	Provide details as to how the new NCIC Protection Order project will be sustained once this funding ends.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written proposal containing a detailed description of the problem and relevant statistical information
- Letters of support
- Excellent concept for NCIC Protection Order project
- Statewide impact
- Program director's leader role in the absence of GTEAP
- This project will be beneficial to tribal protection orders

- Because the new NCIC Protection Order project is funded through ARRA and these funds will be ending the applicant needs to give serious consideration to how the new project will be funded in future years.
- Make corrections to project period and budget miscalculations and restate objective on page 39 to be measurable.
- More information needed on the Program Assistant job duties. Please provide job description and how this relates to the justification of the project.
- Hard to distinguish between DV and SA on graph, pg#26

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: SCIP Grant #: 10-VW-0716

Title: Enhanced Intervention & Advocacy Amount Requested: \$46,323

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$13,107	Due to insufficient funds, partial funding is recommended with the following contingencies:
	 Revised budget excluding any request for equipment, salary increases, out-of-state travel, incidentals or the New L.E.A.F (Batterer Intervention Program).
	 Applicant had contingency last year to include a Culturally Specific organization on their CRT. Application does not identify Culturally Specific organization-please provide.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant in addressing any contingencies and in preparing future applications.

Strengths of the Application:

- Relevant statistical data
- Letters of support
- Efforts working with the schools

- Consultation category contains budget miscalculations and narrative does not match budget sheet.
- Provide more detailed explanation of improvements to the Criminal Justice system.
- No flow chart provided and question 6b was not completed

Attachment #2

Juvenile Services

Grant Review Team Summary

2011 Juvenile Services Grants

Juvenile Services [JS] are state funds. These are competitive funds. The amount available was the same as last year.

Amount Available: \$ 587,812
Amount Requested: \$1,026,029
Amount Over in Requests: \$ 438,217

Amount Recommended: \$ 587,812
Amount of Remaining Funds \$ -0-

<u>33</u> applications were received.

<u>35</u> applications were reviewed; 1 application was incorrectly submitted under Title II; 1 application was moved from Title II to allow for partial funding.

- <u>22</u> applications [63%] were for continuation programs; funds were recommended for <u>22</u> [100%].
- 13 applications [37%] were for new programs
 - <u>3</u> of the 13 programs [23%] were recommended for funding; 1 for full funding; 2 for partial.
 - 10 of the 13 programs [77%] were not recommended for funding:

A total of <u>25</u> projects [71%] were recommended for funding; all <u>25</u> were recommended for Juvenile Services funding by the Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice.

2011 Juvenile Services Grants \$587,812 Available

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2010 Award)		Amount Requested		Amount Recomm Staff Rvw.		nt Recomm NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-JS-400	Dawson Co Lexington		*		en antaga ku ura - en kumentu.	- 47 - J. 74 43 74		terredge for the first extremely the first of the figure but the growth of the
	(Gang Prev. & Interven.							
	Program) (\$23,660)	\$	31,200.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	
11-JS-401	CASA - Hastings (STARS)		·····		·			
	(\$29,000)	\$	29,000.00	\$	29,000.00	\$	29,000.00	
11-JS-402	Grand Island Public							
	Schools - Grand Island							
	(TeamMates Mentoring							
	Program) (\$0)	\$	35,000.00	\$	-	\$	-	
11-JS-403	Grand Island Public							
	Schools - Grand Island							
	(Attendance Program)							
	(\$0)	\$	40,000.00	\$	-	\$	-	
11-JS-404	Saunders Co Wahoo				00.000.55		00.000.5	
	(CASA) (\$36,099)	\$	37,953.00	\$	36,099.00	\$	36,099.00	
11-JS-405	Community Connections -							
	No. Platte (Across Ages	_~	7 500 00	e	7 500 00		7 500 00	
44 10 400	Mentoring) (\$15,000)	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	Ъ	7,500.00	
11-JS-406	Dagion 2 Dehavioral Haalth						A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
	Region 3 Behavioral Health							
	- Kearney (Buffalo Co. Juv. Facilitator) (\$35,000)	\$	35,000,00	\$	35,000.00		35,000.00	
11-JS-407	Kids Plus Inc Sidney (No	Φ	35,000.00	φ	35,000.00	Ψ	35,000.00	
11-33-407	Limits After School							
	Program) (\$30,000)	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00	¢	30,000.00	
11-JS-408	Girl Scouts - Omaha	Ψ	30,000.00	Ψ	50,000.00	Ψ	30,000.00	
1-00-400	(Studio 2B After School							
	Program) (\$40,000)	\$	61,035.22	\$	40,000.00	\$	40,000.00	
11-JS-409	Lutheran Family Services -		01,000.22	4	10,000,00		.0,000.00	
/ 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1	Omaha (Furnas, Hitchcock							
	& Red Willow Co.							
	Diversion Program)							
	(\$23,500)	\$	23,500.00	\$	17,500.00	\$	17,500.00	
11-JS-410	Sherman Co Loup City							
	(Youth Coordinator)							
	(\$30,000)	\$	22,500.00	\$	22,500.00	\$	22,500.00	
11-JS-411					<u> </u>			
	People United for Families -							
	Nebraska City (Rockets		:					
	After School Program) (\$0)	\$	21,873.00	\$	21,873.00	\$	21,873.00	
11-JS-412								
	Otoe Co Nebraska City		40 -00 00	_	40 500 00	_	40 = 00 = -	
44 10 440	(CARE Program) (\$16,500)	\$	16,500.00	\$	16,500.00	\$	16,500.00	
11-JS-413	Doonlo United for Comilia:							
	People United for Families -							
	Nebraska City (CASA Program) (\$25,000)	ď	10 750 00	œ	18,750.00	\$	10 750 00	
11 10 111	GLW Children's Council -	\$	18,750.00	\$	10,700.00	Ψ	18,750.00	
11-10-414	Burwell (Mobilizing for							
	Change) (\$11,250)	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	
	Oπalige/ (Ψ 1 1,200)	ι_Ψ	1,000.00	Ψ	1,500.00	ΙΨ	7,000.00	

Number	ber Agency—City(Program Title) (2010 Award)		Amount Requested	1,4,3,4,4,4,5,5,7	Amount omm Staff Rvw.	Amoi	unt Recomm NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-JS-415	A company of the comp			********		and the second	t elikkis elik tikatik, tilb, kibi nyati nya	en ja to totaleja i gase forfing aller librar analy i see
	Lancaster Co Lincoln (Christian Heritage's Destination Dad) (\$39,513)	\$	20 542 00	\$	20 542 00	ď	20 542 00	
11-JS-416	Destination Dady (\$39,513)	Φ	39,513.00	Ф	39,513.00	Ф	39,513.00	
	Lancaster Co Lincoln (Children in Shelter Project Enhancement) (\$28,000)	\$	29,907.00	\$	28,000.00	\$	28,000.00	
11-JS-417	Lancaster Co Lincoln (Weekend Reporting Ctr.) (\$20,000)	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	
11-JS-418	Lancaster Co Lincoln (Support Your Brothers & Sisters) (\$0)	\$	20,000.00	\$	_	\$	-	
11-JS-419	Lancaster Co Lincoln		50.000.00	•		4		
11-,15-420	(TRACKER) (\$0) Lancaster Co Lincoln	\$	50,000.00	\$		\$	_	
	(TeamMates Against Bullying TAB) (\$0)	\$	35,000.00	\$		\$	•	
11-JS-421	Lancaster Co Lincoln (Power Hour) (\$0)	\$	22,500.00	\$		\$		
11-JS-422	Lancaster Co Lincoln (BUILD) (\$25,000)	\$ \$	25,000.00	φ \$	25,000.00	***************************************	25,000.00	
11-JS-423	Lancaster Co Lincoln (LEAP) (\$22,500)	\$	15,000.00	\$	13,000.00		13,000.00	
11-JS-424	Lancaster Co Lincoln (BOAT) (\$12,500)	\$	6,250.00	\$	6,250.00	***************************************	6,250.00	
11-JS-425	Epworth Village - York (Alternative Education Program (\$0)	\$	20,000.00	\$		\$		
11-JS-426	Beatrice Public Schools - Beatrice (School & Comm.	<u> </u>	20,000.00	<u> </u>	···	Ψ		
11-JS-427	Asset Development) (\$0) City of Omaha - Omaha	\$	43,671.00	\$	10,528.00	\$	10,528.00	
	(Teen Girls Chat) (\$18,047)	\$	21,952.00	\$	18,047.00	\$	18,047.00	
11-JS-428		,						
	City of Omaha - Omaha (Urban Youth Empowerment Srvcs) (\$0)	\$	43,462.00	\$	_	\$		
	City of Omaha - Omaha (Gethsemane-Amachi		40,402.00	Ψ		Ψ		
	Mentoring) (\$0) City of Omaha - Omaha	\$	44,496.00	\$	-	\$	-	
	(Future Focus) (\$38,500)	\$	38,500.00	\$	38,500.00	\$	38,500.00	
11-JS-431	City of Omaha - Omaha (ENCAP Psycho-Social & Substance Abuse Prev.)							
	(\$0)	\$	66,357.00	\$	_	\$	_	
	City of Omaha - Omaha (Refugee Comm Juv. Empowerment Program)							
	(\$13,618)	\$	37,110.00	\$	29,120.00	\$	29,120.00	

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2010 Award)	Amount Requested	Re	Amount ecomm Staff Rvw.	Amo	ount Recomm NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-JS-433	City of Omaha - Omaha						
	(African American Youth						
	Leadership) (\$30,000)	\$ 30,000.00	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00	
11-JS-434	Scotts Bluff Co Gering						
was 10-JJ-	(Equine Assisted Learning)						
02	(\$0)	\$ 38,462.00	\$	27,632.00	\$	27,632.00	
Available		\$ 587,812.00	\$	587,812.00	\$	587,812.00	
Recomm		\$ 1,026,029.22		587,812.00	\$	587,812.00	
Remain		\$ (438,217.22)	\$	-	\$	-	

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Dawson County Grant #: 11-JS-0400

Title: Gang Prevention & Intervention Program Amount Requested: \$31,200

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$20,000	 Submit revised Budget Summary, budget worksheets, and budget narratives with the reduced award amount. Correct Category F match of Steering Committee. Provide a narrative with information on who is serving as the Dawson County DMC Coordinator, if a DMC committee has been established, and time line for meetings. Explain how the Gang Specialist intends to track gang data and how the Gang Specialist will work with local law enforcement.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Use of evidence based curriculum [i.e., Phoenix Gang, MRT and Why Try], was a strength.

- 1. Grant Review Team members questioned if project can serve 500 youth based on the number of youth served in three months in the current funding cycle.
- 2. Grant Review Team members expressed concerns regarding the hourly rate for Gang Specialist, lack of benefits and no mileage reimbursement.
- 3. Current Efforts did not mention role of Club 180, YMCA, or other youth serving agencies.
- 4. Use of the YLS as a pre and post is not a best practice.
- 5. Use of fee system for probation is not an option for sustainability. Youth on probation cannot be charged a fee.
- 6. General technical merit issues EEOP form is incomplete; none of the assurances are dated; Section I, Q1 is blank; Section II does not have mission statement; Section IV chart does not total correctly; Steering Committee match figured at \$25/hour, correct rate is \$9/hour; proofreading and returns between paragraphs would have improved readability.
- 7. Three of the four letters of support were not dated. Letters of support are to be dated to ensure they are current.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: CASA

Grant #: 11-JS-0401

Title: S.T.A.R.S.

Amount Requested: \$29,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$29,000	No Contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Community Description section contained compelling narrative and data.
- 2. Grant Review Team members appreciated the additional service of finding a Big Brother or Team Mate for students.
- 3. Activity/Timeline was detailed and shows involvement on multiple levels.
- 4. Success story well written.

- 1. General technical merit issues Section II does not have mission or problem statement.
- 2. Grant Review Team members would like more information on why families choose not to participate and why youth are unsuccessful in the program.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Grand Island Public Schools Grant #: 11-JS-0402

Title: TeamMates Mentoring Program

Amount Requested: \$35,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Reasons for Denial:
Recommended	
\$0	Evaluation and funding recommendations were based on Operating
	Instruction #10. The application was denied based on:
	1. 7.02G Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Community Description Section showed the huge shift in the minority population.
- 2. Current Efforts section explained the potential loss of funds and how potential reductions in funds would result in loss of two coordinators.
- 3. Each section was complete, concise, and compelling.
- 4. Data illustrated decrease in unexcused absences when a Team Mate is matched with a youth.

- 1. Grant Review Team members were curious for funding plan from March 2011 June 30, 2011.
- 2. Grant Review Team members were unsure how Team Mates can mentor to youth who are not attending school, as Team Mates is based on meeting with a youth during the school day.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Grand Island Public Schools

Grant #: 11-JS-0403

Title: Attendance Program

Amount Requested: \$40,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Reasons for Denial:
Recommended	
\$0	Evaluation and funding recommendations were based on Operating Instruction #10. The application was denied based on:
	1. 7.02G Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Community Description Section showed the huge shift in the minority population.
- 2. Current Efforts section explained the potential loss of funds and the unique nature of the program.
- 3. Each section was complete, concise, and compelling.
- 4. Grant Review Team members responded favorably to research completed by graduate student.

- 1. Bullet points may increase readability versus narrative in some sections where a list is provided.
- 2. Grant Review Team members felt financial support could be provided by school district or county.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Saunders County Grant #: 11-JS-0404

Title: CASA Amount Requested: \$37,953

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:
Recommended	
\$36,099	1. Submit revised budget summary, worksheets, and narratives with Category D budget corrections and to reflect award amount of \$36,099 in accordance with Step Down policy.
	Incorrect per diem for Washington, DC provided; correct and resubmit.
	3. CASA is a best practice; consult national information and submit information on why CASA is evidence based.
	4. Resubmit projected number of youth to be served; project does not have capacity or need to serve 2,316 youth, as was indicated in Section 1.
	 Applicant must contact Statewide DMC Coordinator and schedule a presentation by the DMC Coordinator to the Comprehensive Juvenile Services Committee.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

1. Grant Review Team members appreciated focus on evaluation of the program.

- 1. Applicant did not convey understanding of DMC issues. Contact Statewide DMC Coordinator for technical assistance and presentation to the community planning group.
- 2. Current Efforts section was similar to last year's application; it was unclear in this section if CASA volunteers were already in place.
- 3. Project Operation section did not reference matching a CASA with a youth or the length of the match.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Community Connections **Grant #**: 11-JS-0405

Title: Community Connections Across Ages Mentoring

Amount Requested: \$7,500

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$7,500	No contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Applicant demonstrated strong understanding of 40 Developmental Assets.
- 2. Current Efforts section provided Review Team Members with an understanding of how the mentoring programs each serve a unique population and how Community Connections collaborates with Team Mates.
- 3. Activity/Timeline section was extremely detailed and showed the multiple events for youth.
- 4. Letters of support were dated in October and November, which showed planning on the applicant's behalf.
- 5. The overall quality of this application was stronger than past applications; Grant Review Team members found the application complete, yet concise.

- 1. Data in Community Description section could have been more impactful if it was compared to statewide data.
- 2. Using bullets or numbers in the Sustainability section may improve readability versus using narrative.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Region 3 Behavioral Health

Grant #: 11-JS-0406

Title: Buffalo County Juvenile Facilitator

Amount Requested: \$35,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$35,000	 Submit revised budget worksheets and narratives to reflect per diem at \$56, not \$61/day.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Grant Review Team members appreciated the use of evidence based materials such as Power Source, MRT and *Why Try*.
- 2. Letter from Kearney Public Schools was well written and strengthened application.
- 3. Success rate is high for the program.

- 1. General technical merit issues Community Description table incomplete; race and ethnicity information incorrect on Continuation Table chart.
- 2. If less than 5% of population is minority and 29% of diversion caseload is Hispanic, issues of disproportionality exist.
- 3. Grant Review Team members were unclear if youth at different levels in the justice system were mixed in group setting [i.e., diversion and probation youth]; this is not a best practice.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Kids Plus Inc.

Grant #: 11-JS-0407

Title: No Limits After School Program

Amount Requested: \$30,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$30,000	No Contingencies.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Executive Summary comprehensive and well written.
- 2. Community Description gave reader understanding of industry and activities.

- 1. General technical merit issues margins were less than 1 inch; Project Coordinator and Director should be two different people.
- 2. Letter of support dated 2008 was not current. Letters of support must be current to demonstrate continued need for project.
- 3. In looking at Juvenile Data for 2007 and 2008, appears to be a high number of alcohol related offenses. Grant Review Team members wanted more information on possible connection to Oktoberfest.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Girl Scouts

Grant #: 11-JS-0408

Title: Studio 2B After School Program

Amount Requested: \$61,035.22

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$40,000	 Submit revised budget summary, worksheets, and narratives to reflect award of \$40,000, in accordance with Step Down policy. Reduce Category D and F expenses, similar to the prior year's revised budget. Designate a Project member to attend and participate in the Juvenile Justice Provider Forum in Omaha, contact Crime Commission Grant Administrator for more information.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Agency serves a very specific population, where need is clearly demonstrated.
- 2. Letters of Support were well written and showed investment of youth and leaders.

- 1. To denote authenticity, letters of support should be on official letterhead. Letter of support from Norris Middle School was not on letterhead.
- 2. Review Team Members questioned that Girl Scouts was not cited as a model, best practice, or promising practice programming by any type of evaluator.
- 3. Executive Summary was incomplete; no mention of mission statement.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lutheran Family Services Grant #: 11-JS-0409

Title: Furnas, Hitchcock and Red Willow Counties Diversion Program Amount Requested: \$23,500

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$17,500	1. Submit revised budget and narratives to reflect award of \$17,500, in accordance with Step Down policy.
	2. No funds may be utilized to provide services to youth 18 years of age or older.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Applicant's understanding of the 40 Developmental Assets was clearly conveyed.
- 2. Grant Review Team members impressed with the work on updating the Comprehensive Juvenile Services Plan for the counties.

- 1. Application referenced serving 3, 5, and 6 counties. Unclear to Grant Review Team members what counties will be served and the volume of juvenile offenses in each county.
- 2. Deletion of questions allowed applicant to increase length of answers, but made it difficult for Grant Review Team members to follow the answer.
- 3. Continuation Table did not total correctly and provided no explanation regarding dramatic changes in the number of youth served in the past three years.
- 4. Between 33-50% of the youth served are over the age of 18; funds are to be utilized to support efforts with youth ages 10-17 years of age.
- 5. Grant Review Team members questioned the \$150 fee for diversion services. Unclear if this fee is paid to Lutheran Family Services or the County's general budget.
- 6. In the past two years, Lutheran Family Services has consistently submitted late reports. Both Grant Activity Summary reports for 10-JS-433 were submitted late; three of the four Grant Activity Summary reports for 09-JS-412 were submitted late. Three of the four cash reports for 09-JS-412 were received late.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sherman County Grant #: 11-JS-0410

Title: Youth Coordinator Amount Requested: \$22,500

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$22,500	 Resubmit budget summary, narratives, and worksheets for Category B and D with correct totals.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Community Description stated the need for after school programming due to parental commute times.
- 2. Very clear to Grant Review Team members that the 40 Developmental Assets are incorporated in the program's culture.
- 3. Grant Review Team members support the program evaluation and possible nomination to the OJJDP and SAMSHA.

- 1. General technical merit issues can double side applications; submitted five more copies than requested; errors in budget totals.
- 2. Grant Review Team members questioned if program will be able to serve 175 youth, given the youth population of 312 ages 10-17 in the County.
- 3. Grant Review Team members expressed concern to funding continued Consultant fees instead of direct services.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: People United for Families Grant #: 11-JS-0411

Title: Rockets After School Program

Amount Requested: \$21,873

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$21,873	No Contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Executive Summary well crafted and referenced need for after school care, as parents commute to and from work. Summary also communicated need for funds, as Drug Free Youth funds are ending.
- 2. Problem Statement showed research into the likelihood parents would enroll youth in the after school program.
- 3. Current Efforts showed how the after school programming was not duplicative and the need for programming for 6th graders, who cannot participate in sports.

Areas for improvement:

1. DARE program is not a best practice and does not have a positive impact on youth. Grant Review Team members would like to see PUFF work with law enforcement on an alternative curriculum.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Otoe County

Grant #: 11-JS-0412

Title: CARE Program

Amount Requested: \$16,500

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$16,500	 Incorrect per diem provided; correct and resubmit budget narrative and worksheets related to per diem change from \$46 to \$41/day.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Executive Summary makes clear reference to cost savings and system improvement of CARE versus detention out of county.
- 2. Activity/Timeline was well detailed in the areas of programming and reporting.
- 3. Grant Review Team members appreciated the County taking a pro-active stance in the identification of DMC.

- 1. General technical merit issues page numbering inconsistent; did not provide answer for B, C or D in Continuation Section; Section A on EEOP form incomplete; no date on letter of support from Judge O'Neal; per diem rate incorrect; agreement in letters of support collated incorrectly.
- 2. Problem statement was not correct for this application problem is negative consequences when youth has higher level of care than needed.
- 3. Could have strengthened Community Description Section by discussing barriers to families visiting youth in detention because of travel distance.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: People United for Families

Grant #: 11-JS-0413

Title: CASA Program

Amount Requested: \$18,750

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$18,750	No Contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Problem Statement section very well written. Grant Review Team members appreciated inclusion of table of risk factors and information on out of home placements.
- 2. Current Efforts clearly stated difference between a mentor and a CASA volunteer.
- 3. Letter from County Attorney referenced out of care reform and how the stability offered by a CASA is crucial.

Areas for improvement:

1. General technical merit issues – no date on letter of support from Judge O'Neal.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: GLW Children's Council

Grant #: 11-JS-0414

Title: Mobilizing for Change

Amount Requested: \$7,500

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$7,500	No Contingencies.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Feedback from Review Committee:

- 1. Technical merit issues incomplete Continuation Table; Community Description table did not total; acronyms [CADCA] were not defined; no local arrest data was provided; margins were not 1 inch; and, copies could have been double sided.
- 2. To show segregation of duties, Grant Review Team members prefer the Project Director, Project Coordinator, and Fiscal Officer are three different people.
- 3. Success story may have improved the application.
- 4. Comparison of poverty and income levels of service area versus state average may have offered greater support to the assertion the community is poverty stricken.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County Grant #: 11-JS-0415

Title: Christian Heritage's Destination Dad

Amount Requested: \$39,513

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$39,513	No contingencies.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Project scope is very unique and works with youth deeper in the juvenile justice system.
- 2. Support of the program is evident from diverse letters of support and financial contribution from Lancaster County.
- 3. Executive Summary clear and concise.
- 4. Strong understanding of 40 Developmental Assets was evident in response to question.

- 1. Technical merit issues sustainability section exceeded page length limit.
- 2. Activity/Time Line referenced current and future applications; not all activities were specific to Crime Commission funding.
- 3. Grant Review Team members had the following questions after reading the application.
 - a. Can the 7 week program be presented in such a way that youth could receive maximum number of sessions, given the average length of stay in detention is 30 days?
 - b. Of the youth participating in the classes at the detention center, how many are not from Lancaster County?
 - c. How does the facilitator address wide difference in ages of youth [11-18 year olds] to maintain engagement?

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County Grant #: 11-JS-0416

Title: Children in Shelter Project Enhancement Amount Requested: \$29,907

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$28,000	 Submit revised budget summary, worksheets, and narratives to reflect award of \$28,000, in accordance with Step Down policy.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Project Operation section clearly explains how services are delivered in shelter and options to continue to receive services after departure.
- 2. Applicant demonstrates a strong understanding of the 40 Developmental Assets and strengths based programming.

- 1. Budget narratives provide more information regarding the position descriptions than is necessary.
- 2. Connection between children of domestic violence and juvenile justice was not fully outlined.